ICs and Transceiver Module Design for 100-300GHz Wireless

Mark Rodwell
Doluca Family Chair, University of California, Santa Barbara
rodwell@ece.ucsb.edu

Acknowledgments

Collaborators (ComSenTer):
Debdeep Jena, Alyosha Molnar, Christoph Studer, Huili Xing: Cornell University
Muhannad Bakir: Georgia Tech
Sundeep Rangan: New York University
Amin Arbabian, Srabanti Chowdhury: Stanford
Elad Alon, **Ali Niknejad**, Borivoje Nikolic : University of California, Berkeley
Danijela Cabric, Tim Fisher: University of California, Los Angeles
Andrew Kummel, Gabriel Rebeiz: University of California, San Diego
Jim Buckwalter, Upamanyu Madhow, **Umesh Mishra**, Mark Rodwell, Susanne Stemmer: University of California, Santa Barbara
Andreas Molisch: University of Southern California
Kenneth O: University of Texas, Dallas

Collaborators: (**Samsung**) Gary Xu, Navneet Sharma, Will Choi, Eunyoung Seok. (**PiRadio**) Aditya Dhananjay

Co-Authors:
At UCSB: Ali Farid, Ahmed A.S. Ahmed, Utku Solyu, Amirreza Alizadeh, Navid Hosseinzadeh, Seungchan Lee
Beyond UCSB: Prof. **Munkyo Seo**, Sungkyunkwan Univ.

Sponsors: Semiconductor Research Corporation JUMP Program (Tim Green, Todd Younkin).
Analog Devices, ARM, DARPA, EMD Performance Materials, IBM, Intel, Lockheed-Martin, Micron, NIST, Northrop-Grumman, NSF, Raytheon, Samsung, SK hynix, TSMC.

This work was supported in part by the Semiconductor Research Corporation (SRC) and DARPA.

100-300GHz Wireless

Wireless networks: exploding demand.

Immediate industry response: 5G.

~3~100 GHz

increased spectrum, extensive beamforming

Next generation (6G ??): above 100GHz.. (???)

greatly increased spectrum, massive spatial multiplexing



100-300GHz carriers, massive spatial multiplexing

→ Terabit hubs and backhaul links, high-resolution imaging radar









Benefits of Short Wavelengths

Communications: Massive spatial multiplexing, massive # of parallel channels. Also, more spectrum.









Imaging: very fine angular resolution



But:

High losses in foul or humid weather.
High λ^2/R^2 path losses.
ICs: poorer PAs & LNAs.
Beams easily blocked.

100-300GHz wireless:
terabit capacity,
short range,
highly intermittent

140GHz moderate-MIMO hub





If hub uses 32-element array (four 1×8 modules):

16 users/array. P_{1dB}=21 dB_m PAs, F=8dB LNAs

1,10 Gb/s/beam→ **16**, 160 Gb/s total capacity

70, 40 m range in 50mm/hr rain with **17**dB total margins



Handset:
8 × 8 array
(9×9mm)

210 GHz, 640 Gb/s MIMO Backhaul



8-element MIMO array

2.1 m baseline.

80Gb/s/subarray→ 640Gb/s total

4 × 4 sub-arrays → 8 degree beamsteering



Key link parameters

500 meters range in 50 mm/hr rain; 23 dB/km

20 dB total margins:

packaging loss, obstruction, operating, design, aging

PAs: 18dBm =P_{1dB} (per element)

LNAs: 6dB noise figure

Systems Design

MIMO System Design

ADCs/DACs[1]: QPSK needs only 3-4 bit ADC/DACs
N ADC bits, *M* antennas, *K* signals: SNR=6*N*+1.76+10·log$_{10}$(*M*/*K*)
3 bits, (*M*/*K*)=2→ SNR=23 dB. QPSK needs 9.8 dB.



Linearity[1]: Amplifier P_{1dB} need be only 4 dB above average power

Phase noise[2,3]: Requirements same as for SISO

Efficient digital beamforming[4,5]: beamspace algorithm

Efficient VLSI digital beamformer implementation[6]: low-resolution matrix

Efficient beamforming in broadband arrays[7]: combined spatial & temporal FFTs.





1) M. Abdelghany et al, IEEE Trans. Wireless Comm, Sept. 2021
2) M. E. Rasekh et al, IEEE Trans. Wireless Comm, Oct. 2021
3) A. Puglielli et al, 2016 IEEE ICC
4) M. Abdelghany, et. al, , 2019 IEEE SPAWC
5) S. H. Mirfarshbafan et al, IEEE Trans CAS 1, 2020
6) O Castañeda Fernández et. al, 2021 ESSCIRC
7) M. Abdelghany et al 2019 IEEE GLOBECOM

100-300 GHz IC design: Transistors

Transistors for 100-300GHz

CMOS: good power & noise up to ~150GHz. Not much beyond. 65-22nm nodes are best.

InP HBT: record 100-300GHz PAs

SiGe HBT: out-performs CMOS above 200GHz

GaN HEMT: record power below 100GHz. Bandwidth improving

InGaAs-channel HEMT: world's best low-noise amplifiers





Results with low power but high PAE, or low PAE but high power, are not shown



Results compiled 9/9/2021

Summary: InP transistors & ICs

InP HEMTs: 1.5THz f_{max}, 1.0THz amplifiers

W. Deal et al, 2016 IEDM (Northrop-Grumman)





130nm InP HBTs: 1.1THz f_{max}, **3.5V.** 670 GHz amplifiers

M. Urteaga, et al, IEEE Proceedings June 2017 (Teledyne)





250nm InP HBTs: 650GHz f_{max}, **4.5V.**

Z. Griffith et al, 2007 IPRM conference (UCSB)

M. Urteaga, et al, IEEE Proceedings June 2017 (Teledyne)



204 GHz static frequency divider
Z. Griffith et al, 2010 IEEE CSICS



100-300GHz wireless: transistor requirements

Transmitters need:
high power-added efficiency $PAE = (P_{out} - P_{in})/P_{DC}$
high added power density (P_{out}-P_{in})/(gate width, emitter length)

Receivers need:
low cascaded noise $F_{casc} = F + (F-1)/G + (F-1)/G^2 + \cdots$



Need reasonable gain/stage.
die area, power,
accumulated gain compression







(gain in PAs, LNAs is less than MAG/MSG, U, ...)

Where the IC designer can't help

mm-wave transistor gain is low: gain-boosting is common
Common-source vs. common-gate.
Capacitive neutralization. Unconditionally stable positive feedback (Singhakowinta, Int. J. Electronics, 1966)

Such circuits don't improve the parameters that matter the most.

The circuit* doesn't change the transistor minimum cascaded noise figure. (Haus, Adler, Proc. IRE, 1958)

The circuit* doesn't change the transistor maximum efficiency vs. added power curve.



$$F_{casc} = 1 + M = F + (F-1)/G + (F-1)/G^2 + \cdots$$

*If lossless, and given the correct source and load impedances.



100-300 GHz IC design: Interconnects

Normal & inverted microstrip

Normal: PAs, LNAs
smaller skin-effect losses ✓
ground-plane holes at transistors ✗





266GHz, 16.8dBm PA: A. Ahmed et. al, 2021 IMS

Inverted: high-density blocks (mixers, phase shifters,…)
higher skin-effect losses ✗
no ground-plane breaks: better ground integrity ✓





529GHz dynamic divider: M. Seo et al, IEICE Electronics Express, Feb. 2015



On-Wafer Interconnect Losses

Interconnects in packages and on PCBs:

$H \propto 1/\text{frequency}$ (to control radiation loss)

$\text{loss (dB/mm)} \propto \left(\text{frequency}\right)^{3/2}$

$\text{loss (dB/wavelength)} \propto \sqrt{\text{frequency}}$

Interconnects in ICs:

H is independent of frequency

$\text{loss (dB/mm)} \propto \sqrt{\text{frequency}}$

$\text{loss (dB/wavelength)} \propto 1/\sqrt{\text{frequency}}$



100-300 GHz IC design: Low-noise amplifiers

LNA design: noise close to transistor limits

1) Goal: low noise measure, not noise figure

$$F_{\text{cascade}} = M + 1 = F + \frac{F-1}{G} + \frac{F-1}{G^2} + \ldots = \frac{F - 1/G}{1 - 1/G}$$

F = noise figure, M = noise measure

2) Find bias current density for lowest noise measure





@210GHz,
$F_{\text{cascade,min}}$= 6.57 dB
given:
J_e=0.5 mA/um,
V_{cb}=0.3V

3) Minimum M is independent of circuit configuration*; pick for high bandwidth or high gain/stage (= low P_{DC})



<-- all give the same minimum M…

…but common-base gives highest gain (InP HBT @210GHz).

*HA Haus, RB Adler, Proceedings of the IRE, 1958

4) Capacitance in common-base; just like inductance in common-emitter, allows simultaneous tuning for zero reflection coefficient and minimum M



5) Write ADS Python code to display source impedance for minimum M.



6) Scale transistor size to eliminate series tuning element. Less input tuning→ less noise from passive element loss.



Result: 7.2-7.4dB LNA noise given 6.6dB transistor F_{cascade}.





U. Solyu et. al, to be presented, 2021 EuMIC Conference

100-300 GHz IC design: Power amplifiers

Current density, finger pitch limit cell output power

Electrode RC charging time $\propto$ (finger length)^2

Maximum finger length $\propto 1/\sqrt{\text{frequency}}$

Current per finger $\propto 1/\sqrt{\text{frequency}}$



Maximum cell width $\propto 1/\text{frequency}$

Maximum number fingers $\propto 1/\text{frequency}$

Maximum current per cell $\propto 1/\text{frequency}^{3/2}$



Maximum RF power per cell $\propto$ (maximum load resistance)$\cdot$(maximum current)$^2 \propto 1/$(frequency)^3

Compare to Johnson F.O.M.: maximum power per cell $\propto$ (maximum voltage)$^2/$(minimum load resistance) $\propto 1/$(frequency)^2

Current density, finger pitch limit cell output power

50Ω GaN PA cell @ 140GHz (1.6W)
25V swing, 1.67mA/μm,
gates: 30 μm width, 15 μm pitch

K. Shinohara, Teledyne: GaN HEMT thermal analysis



50Ω InP HBT PA cell @ 280GHz (40mW)
4V swing, 3.3mA/μm,
emitters: 6 μm length, 6 μm pitch



High V_{br}, low I_{max} ? Device sized to drive 50Ω might approach $\lambda_g/4$ width.
Small finger pitch is critical; limited by thermal design

Low-Loss 100-300GHz Corporate Combining

Wilkinson trees are lossy:
Signal passes through *many* 70.7Ω, λ/4 lines.
λ/4 lines are long.
70.7Ω lines are narrow…and lossy→ High loss.

Single-(λ/4) combiners are much less lossy
Each design uses a single *effective* λ/4 section.
Shorter lines, low-Z_o lines → lower loss
But, low loss only if transistor cells fit.







100-300GHz Power combining: what is best ?

Corporate T-line



Direct series-connected

M. Shifrin: 1992 IEEE μWave/mmWave Monolithic Circuits Symp. **(Raytheon)**



Cascaded combining

A. Ahmed 2018 EuMIC, 2021 RFIC **(UCSB)**



Distributed Active Transformer

I. Aoki, IEEE Trans MTT, Jan. 2002 **(CalTech)**



Balun series-connected

λ/4 baluns: Y. Yoshihara, 2008 IEEE Asian Solid-State Circuits Conference **(Toshiba)**

sub-λ/4 baluns: H. Park, et al, IEEE JSSC, Oct. 2014 **(UCSB)**



Transistor stacking. Why ? Why not ?



	Lower frequencies	Higher frequencies
Corporate combining	length $\propto 1/f \rightarrow$ large die area ✗ dB loss $\propto 1/\sqrt{f} \rightarrow$ high loss ✗	length $\propto 1/f \rightarrow$ small die area ✓ dB loss $\propto 1/\sqrt{f} \rightarrow$ low loss ✓
Series-connected	more transistor fingers per cell → ok ✓	more transistor fingers per cell→ parasitics ✗

Series combining using sub-λ/4 baluns



81GHz, 17 dB Gain
470 mW P_{sat} , 23% PAE
Teledyne 250 nm InP HBT
2 stages, 1.0 mm^2(incl pads)

λ/4 baluns: Y. Yoshihara, 2008 IEEE Asian Solid-State Circuits Conference **(Toshiba)**
sub-λ/4 baluns: H. Park, et al, IEEE JSSC, Oct. 2014 **(UCSB)**





Sub-λ/4 Balun Combiners. Why ? Why not ?





	Lower frequencies	Higher frequencies
Corporate combining	length $\propto 1/f \rightarrow$ large die area ✗ dB loss $\propto 1/\sqrt{f} \rightarrow$ high loss ✗	length $\propto 1/f \rightarrow$ small die area ✓ dB loss $\propto 1/\sqrt{f} \rightarrow$ low loss ✓
Sub-λ/4 Balun	more transistor fingers per cell → ok ✓	more transistor fingers per cell→ parasitics ✗ impedance shift of transistor-balun interconnect ✗

Cascade combining as stacking plus matching

A. S. H. Ahmed et al, 2018 EuMIC (UCSB)



Generalized cascade combining

A. S. H. Ahmed et al, 2018 EuMIC (UCSB)
A. S. H. Ahmed, et al, 2021 RFIC Symposium

adjustable power summation

=stacking + matching

nonuniform

with spittling or combining











Cascade Combining: Why ? Why not ?







	Lower frequencies	Higher frequencies
Corporate combining	length $\propto 1/f \rightarrow$ large die area ✗ dB loss $\propto 1/\sqrt{f} \rightarrow$ high loss ✗	length $\propto 1/f \rightarrow$ small die area ✓ dB loss $\propto 1/\sqrt{f} \rightarrow$ low loss ✓
Series-connected	more transistor fingers per cell → ok ✓	more transistor fingers per cell→ parasitics ✗
Cascade combining	large interstage matching networks ✗	small interstage matching networks ✓ small # transistor fingers per cell → ok ✓ cascade cell pass-though losses ✗

Recent high-efficiency 100-300GHz PAs

Teledyne 250nm InP HBT technology

Ahmed et al, 2020 IMS, 2020 EuMIC, 2021 IMS, 2021 RFIC



140GHz, 20.5dBm, 20.8% PAE



130GHz, 200mW, 17.8% PAE



194GHz, 17.4dBm, 8.5% PAE



266GHz, 16.8dBm, 4.0% PAE











ICs and Packages: 140 GHz

The mm-wave module design problem

How to make the IC electronics fit ?
How to avoid catastrophic signal losses ?
How to remove the heat ?





Not all systems steer in two planes...
...some steer in only one.



Not all systems steer over 180 degrees...
...some steer a smaller angular range





100-300GHz IC-package connections









type	Frequency	technology	cost	heatsinking
micromachined waveguide interface	1000 GHz	Research. Cheap one day ?	high ✗	good
ribbon, mesh bond	200 GHz	Handcrafted.	high ✗	good
patch antennas on superstrate	1000 GHz	Straightforward	low	good
Cu stud flip-chip	>200 GHz	Industry standard	low	ok, marginal for PA ✗
hot vias	200 GHz	Development	low ?	good
(ball) wirebonds	100 GHz ✗	Industry standard	low	good

140GHz hub: packaging challenges



IC-package interconnects
Difficult at > 100 GHz



Removing heat
Thermal vias are marginal



Interconnect density
Dense wiring for DC, LO, IF, control.
Hard to fit these all in.



Economies of scale
Advanced packaging standards require sophisticated tools
High-volume orders only
Hard for small-volume orders (research, universities)
Packaging industry is moving offshore

135GHz 8-channel MIMO hub array tile modules

Receiver: A. Farid et. al, to be presented, 2021 IEEE BCICTS; Transmitter to be submitted





135GHz 8-channel MIMO hub array tile modules

Receiver: A. Farid, 2021 BCICTS; Transmitter: to be submitted

140GHz MIMO hub receiver array modules,
4-element, 8-element
MIMO beamforming
Data transmission up to 1.9Gb/s

140GHz MIMO hub transmitter array modules,
8-element
38.5dBm EIRP
Data transmission up to 1.9Gb/s
Performance limited by assembly yield.
Data rate limited by connector.









110mW InP PA
20.8% PAE



Teledyne 250nm InP HBT

CMOS TX, RX ICs
GlobalFoundries
22nm SOI CMOS.









140 GHz IF Beamforming Phased-Array Receiver

S. Li, et al , IEEE JSSC 2021, Rebeiz Group, UCSD







8-element Receiver Chip Area is 5.3 mm x 4.7 mm

1.1 mm channel to channel spacing is dependent on the $\frac{\lambda}{2}$ length at 140 GHz in the air

140 GHz IF Beamforming Phased-Array Transmitter





Siwei Li, 2021 IEEE IMS: Rebeiz Group, UCSD









Pout: 3.5dBm /6dB BO		Pout: 1.5dBm /8dB BO	
4 Gb/s	16 Gb/s	6 Gb/s	21 Gb/s
			
4.1%	5.6%	3.9%	5.1%

High-power 140GHz CMOS Amplifier

S. Li , 2021 IEEE RFIC Symposium
Rebeiz Group, UCSD

Power Amplifier for 140GHz ICs
45 nm SOI CMOS (GlobalFoundries)
17.5dBm saturated output power
13.4% peak power-added efficiency
Record performance



W_{1-4} : 24 x 1 µm ; W_{5-6} : 30 x 1 µm ; W_{7-8} : 30 x 1.6 µm
L_s: 25 pH ; k_1: 0.49 ; k_2: 0.71; VDD : 1/1.2 V

(a)



(b)



(c)

Fully Connected E-band 16x16 Uplink

16 x 16 subarrays

Low power: 7 mW/user/element

7-76 GHz, 81-86 GHz

4 simultaneous users demonstrated

Can support 16 users, 2 Gb/s/user

E. Naviasky, 2021 ISSCC
(Niknejad group, UC Berkeley)









Beamspace digital beamformer IC

All-digital receive beamformer ASIC in 65nm CMOS

Beamspace algorithm

Supports 32 antennas and 1 to 16 users

20GB/s throughput given
16 simultaneously transmitting users
under conditions requiring 3-bit ADC resolution

Record 9.98GB/s throughput given
16 simultaneously transmitting users
under conditions requiring 6-bit ADC resolution



Castaneda Fernandez 2021 ESSCIRC.
Studer Group, Cornell/ETHZ
Molnar Group, Cornell

ICs and Packages: 210 GHz & 280 GHz

210 GHz Transmitter and Receiver ICs

M. Seo et al, 2021 IMS; Teledyne 250nm InP HBT

















280GHz transmitter and receiver IC designs

Solyu, Alz, Ahmed, Seo; UCSB/Sungkyunkwan
Teledyne 250nm InP HBT technology

Receiver



simulations: 11dB noise figure, 40GHz bandwidth

Transmitter



simulations: 17dB saturated output power.

Application: point-point MIMO backhaul links

100-300GHz Wireless

Massive capacities
large available bandwidths
massive spatial multiplexing in base stations and point-point links

Very short range: few 100 meters
short wavelength, high atmospheric losses. Easily-blocked beams.

IC Technology
All-CMOS for short ranges below 200 GHz.
SiGe or III-V LNAs and PAs for longer-range links. Just like cell phones today
SiGe or III-V frequency extenders for **20**0GHz and beyond

The challenges
digital beamformer computational complexity
packaging: fitting signal channels in very small areas
mesh networking to accommodate beam blockage
driving the technologies to low cost

References

Systems Design

- 100-300GHz Link examples: M. J. W. Rodwell, "100-340GHz Spatially Multiplexed Communications: IC, Transceiver, and Link Design," 2019 IEEE 20th International Workshop on Signal Processing Advances in Wireless Communications (SPAWC), 2019, pp. 1-5, doi: 10.1109/SPAWC.2019.8815433.
- Required ADC/DAC resolution and amplifier IP3, P1dB in massive MIMO: M. Abdelghany, A. A. Farid, M. E. Rasekh, U. Madhow and M. J. W. Rodwell, "A Design Framework for All-Digital mmWave Massive MIMO With per-Antenna Nonlinearities," in IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications, vol. 20, no. 9, pp. 5689-5701, Sept. 2021, doi: 10.1109/TWC.2021.3069378.
- Phase noise in massive MIMO: M. E. Rasekh, M. Abdelghany, U. Madhow and M. Rodwell, "Phase Noise in Modular Millimeter Wave Massive MIMO," in IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications, vol. 20, no. 10, pp. 6522-6535, Oct. 2021, doi: 10.1109/TWC.2021.3074911.
- Phase noise in massive MIMO: A. Puglielli, G. LaCaille, A. M. Niknejad, G. Wright, B. Nikolić and E. Alon, "Phase noise scaling and tracking in OFDM multi-user beamforming arrays," 2016 IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC), 2016, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/ICC.2016.7511631.
- Beamspace algorithm for MIMO hub digital beamforming: M. Abdelghany, U. Madhow and A. Tölli, "Beamspace Local LMMSE: An Efficient Digital Backend for mmWave Massive MIMO," 2019 IEEE 20th International Workshop on Signal Processing Advances in Wireless Communications (SPAWC), 2019, pp. 1-5, doi: 10.1109/SPAWC.2019.8815585.
- Beamspace algorithm and VLSI design for MIMO hub digital beamforming: S. H. Mirfarshbafan, A. Gallyas-Sanhueza, R. Ghods and C. Studer, "Beamspace Channel Estimation for Massive MIMO mmWave Systems: Algorithm and VLSI Design," in IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Regular Papers, vol. 67, no. 12, pp. 5482-5495, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.1109/TCSI.2020.3023023.
- Digital beamformer IC: Oscar F. Castaneda Fernandez , Zachariah Boynton , Seyed Hadi Mirfarshbafan , Shimin Huang , Jamie Ye , Alyosha Molnar , Christoph Studer, "A Resolution-Adaptive 8mm2 9.98Gb/s 39.7pJ/b 32-Antenna All-Digital Spatial Equalizer for mmWave Massive MU-MIMO in 65nm CMOS", 2021 European Solid-State Circuits Conference.
- Algorithms for MIMO digital beamforming with broaband waveforms: M. Abdelghany, U. Madhow and M. Rodwell, "An Efficient Digital Backend for Wideband Single-Carrier mmWave Massive MIMO," 2019 IEEE Global Communications Conference (GLOBECOM), 2019, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/GLOBECOM38437.2019.9013233.

References

Transistors

- InP HEMT:W. R. Deal, K. Leong, W. Yoshida, A. Zamora and X. B. Mei, "InP HEMT integrated circuits operating above 1,000 GHz," *2016 IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting (IEDM)*, 2016, pp. 29.1.1-29.1.4, doi: 10.1109/IEDM.2016.7838502
- InP HBT: M. Urteaga, Z. Griffith, M. Seo, J. Hacker and M. J. W. Rodwell, "InP HBT Technologies for THz Integrated Circuits," Proceedings of the IEEE, vol. 105, no. 6, pp. 1051-1067, June 2017, doi: 10.1109/JPROC.2017.2692178.
- InP HBT: M. J. W. Rodwell, M. Le and B. Brar, "InP Bipolar ICs: Scaling Roadmaps, Frequency Limits, Manufacturable Technologies," in Proceedings of the IEEE, vol. 96, no. 2, pp. 271-286, Feb. 2008, doi: 10.1109/JPROC.2007.911058.
- finFET: H. Lee, S. Callender, S. Rami, W. Shin, Q. Yu and J. M. Marulanda, "Intel 22nm Low-Power FinFET (22FFL) Process Technology for 5G and Beyond," 2020 IEEE Custom Integrated Circuits Conference (CICC), 2020, pp. 1-7, doi: 10.1109/CICC48029.2020.9075914.
- 22nm SOI CMOS: C. Li et al., "5G mm-Wave front-end-module design with advanced SOI process," 2017 IEEE 12th International Conference on ASIC (ASICON), 2017, pp. 1017-1020, doi: 10.1109/ASICON.2017.8252651.
- SiGe HBT: B. Heinemann et al., "SiGe HBT with ft/fmax of 505 GHz/720 GHz," 2016 IEDM, San Francisco, CA, 2016, pp. 3.1.1-3.1.4.
- GaN HEMT: S. Wienecke et al., "N-Polar GaN Cap MISHEMT With Record Power Density Exceeding 6.5 W/mm at 94 GHz," IEEE Electron Device Letters, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 359-362, March 2017
- GaN HEMT: A. Fung et al., "Gallium nitride amplifiers beyond W-band," 2018 IEEE Radio and Wireless Symposium (RWS), 2018, pp. 150-153, doi: 10.1109/RWS.2018.8304971.

References

Power Amplifiers

- Stacking: M. Shifrin, Y. Ayasli, and P. Katzin, "A new power amplifier topology with series biasing and power combining of transistors," in 1992 IEEE Microwave and Millimeter-Wave Monolithic Circuits Symp. Dig. Papers, Jun. 1992, pp. 39–41.
- Stacking: S. Pornpromlikit, H.-T. Dabag, B. Hanafi, J. Kim, L. Larson, J. Buckwalter, and P. Asbeck, "A Q-band amplifier implemented with stacked 45-nm CMOS FETs," in Proc. 2011 IEEE Compound Semiconductor Integrated Circuit Symp. (CSICS), Oct. 2011, pp. 1–4.
- Distributed active transformers: I. Aoki, S. Kee, D. Rutledge, and A. Hajimiri, "Distributed active transformer: A new power-combining and impedance-transformation technique," IEEE Trans. Microw. Theory Tech., vol. 50, no. 1, pp. 316–331, Jan. 2002S
- Series combining with baluns: Y. Yoshihara, R. Fujimoto, N. Ono, T. Mitomo, H. Hoshino and M. Hamada, "A 60-GHz CMOS power amplifier with Marchand balun-based parallel power combiner," 2008 IEEE Asian Solid-State Circuits Conference, 2008, pp. 121-124, doi: 10.1109/ASSCC.2008.4708744.
- Series combining with sub-quarter-wave baluns: H. Park, S. Daneshgar, Z. Griffith, M. Urteaga, B. Kim and M. Rodwell, "Millimeter-Wave Series Power Combining Using Sub-Quarter-Wavelength Baluns," IEEE JSSC, vol. 49, no. 10, pp. 2089-2102, Oct. 2014
- Cascade combining: A. S. H. Ahmed, A. A. Farid, M. Urteaga and M. J. W. Rodwell, "204GHz Stacked-Power Amplifiers Designed by a Novel Two-Port Technique," 2018 13th European Microwave Integrated Circuits Conference (EuMIC), 2018, pp. 29-32, doi: 10.23919/EuMIC.2018.8539884.
- 270GHz InP HBT PA: A. S. H. Ahmed, U. Soylu, M. Seo, M. Urteaga and M. J. W. Rodwell, "A compact H-band Power Amplifier with High Output Power," 2021 IEEE Radio Frequency Integrated Circuits Symposium (RFIC), 2021, pp. 123-126, doi: 10.1109/RFIC51843.2021.9490426.
- 140GHz CMOS PA: S. Li and G. M. Rebeiz, "A 130-151 GHz 8-Way Power Amplifier with 16.8-17.5 dBm Psat and 11.7-13.4% PAE Using CMOS 45nm RFSOI," 2021 IEEE Radio Frequency Integrated Circuits Symposium (RFIC), 2021, pp. 115-118, doi: 10.1109/RFIC51843.2021.9490507.

References

Power Amplifiers (more)

- 270GHz power amplifier: A. S. H. Ahmed, U. Soylu, M. Seo, M. Urteaga and M. J. W. Rodwell, "A compact H-band Power Amplifier with High Output Power," 2021 IEEE Radio Frequency Integrated Circuits Symposium (RFIC), 2021, pp. 123-126, doi: 10.1109/RFIC51843.2021.9490426.
- 200GHz power amplifier: A. S. H. Ahmed, U. Soylu, M. Seo, M. Urteaga, M. J. W. Rodwell, "A 190-210GHz Power Amplifier with 17.7-18.5dBm Output Power and 6.9-8.5% PAE." IEEE International Microwave Symposium (IMS). 6-11 June, Atlanta and virtual
- 130GHz power amplifier: A. S. H. Ahmed, M. Seo, A. A. Farid, M. Urteaga, J. F. Buckwalter and M. J. W. Rodwell, "A 200mW D-band Power Amplifier with 17.8% PAE in 250-nm InP HBT Technology," 2020 15th European Microwave Integrated Circuits Conference (EuMIC), 2021, pp. 1-4, doi: 10.1109/EuMIC48047.2021.00012.
- 140GHz power amplifier: A. S. H. Ahmed, M. Seo, A. A. Farid, M. Urteaga, J. F. Buckwalter and M. J. W. Rodwell, "A 140GHz power amplifier with 20.5dBm output power and 20.8% PAE in 250-nm InP HBT technology," 2020 IEEE/MTT-S International Microwave Symposium (IMS), 2020, pp. 492-495, doi: 10.1109/IMS30576.2020.9224012.

LNAs

- 200GHz InP HBT LNAs: Utku Soylu, Ahmed S. H. Ahmed, Munkyo Seo, Ali Farid, Mark Rodwell, "200 GHz Low Noise Amplifiers in 250nm InP HBT Technology", 2021 EuMIC (delayed by COVID to Jan 2022)
- InGaAs-channel HEMT LNAs: G. Moschetti et al., "A 183 GHz Metamorphic HEMT Low-Noise Amplifier With 3.5 dB Noise Figure," in IEEE Microwave and Wireless Components Letters, vol. 25, no. 9, pp. 618-620, Sept. 2015, doi: 10.1109/LMWC.2015.2451355.

Circuit theory

- Maximum acheivable unconditionally stable gain: A. Slnghakowinta & A. R. Boothroyd (1966) Gain Capability of Two-port Amplifiers , International Journal of Electronics, 21:6, 549-560, DOI: 10.1080/00207216608937931
- Noise theory: H. A. Haus and R. B. Adler, "Optimum Noise Performance of Linear Amplifiers," in Proceedings of the IRE, vol. 46, no. 8, pp. 1517-1533, Aug. 1958. doi: 10.1109/JRPROC.1958.286973

References

Frequency Dividers

- Static Dividers: Z. Griffith, M. Urteaga, R. Pierson, P. Rowell, M. Rodwell and B. Brar, "A 204.8GHz Static Divide-by-8 Frequency Divider in 250nm InP HBT," 2010 IEEE Compound Semiconductor Integrated Circuit Symposium (CSICS), 2010, pp. 1-4, doi: 10.1109/CSICS.2010.5619684.
- Dynamic Dividers: Munkyo Seo, John Hacker, Miguel Urteaga, Anders Skalare, Mark Rodwell, " A 529 GHz Dynamic Frequency Divider in 130 nm InP HBT Process", IEICE Electronics Express, Vol. 12 (2015) No. 3 pp. 20141118. February 10, 2015, https://doi.org/10.1587/elex.12.20141118

Phased arrays and MIMO Transeiver modules

- CMOS phased array: S. Li, Z. Zhang, B. Rupakula and G. M. Rebeiz, "An Eight-Element 140-GHz Wafer-Scale IF Beamforming Phased-Array Receiver With 64-QAM Operation in CMOS RFSOI," in IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits, doi: 10.1109/JSSC.2021.3102876.
- CMOS phased array: Siwei Li, Zhe Zhang , Bhaskara R. Rupakula, Gabriel M. Rebeiz, "An Eight-Element 140 GHz Wafer-Scale Phased-Array Transmitter with 32 dBm Peak EIRP and > 16 Gbps 16QAM and 64QAM Operation", 2021 IEEE MTT-S International Microwave Symposium
- 140GHz CMOS MIMO receiver hub modules: A. A. Farid, A. S. H. Ahmed, A Dhananjay, P. Skrimponis, S. Rangan, M. J. W. Rodwell, "135GHz CMOS / LTCC MIMO Receiver Array Tile Modules", 2021 IEEE BiCMOS and Compound Semiconductor Integrated Circuits and Technology Symposium (BCICTS),
- 140GHz CMOS MIMO receiver and transmitter hub modules: Ali A. Farid, Ahmed S. H. Ahmed, Aditya Dhananjay, Mark J. W. Rodwell, "135GHz Transmitter and Receiver Multiuser MIMO Array Tiles for Wireless Communications", submitted to IEEE JSSC.
- M. Seo, A. S. H. Ahmed, U. Soylu, A. Farid, Y. Na and M. Rodwell, "A 200 GHz InP HBT Direct-Conversion LO-Phase-Shifted Transmitter/Receiver with 15 dBm Output Power," 2021 IEEE MTT-S International Microwave Symposium (IMS), 2021, pp. 378-381, doi: 10.1109/IMS19712.2021.9575035.

Backup slides
(for questions, for reference)

210 GHz FMCW crossed-array imaging car radar

Array:
36×1 transmit, 1×216 receive
36 (v) × 216 (h) image
length: 15cm (6 inches),
beamwidth: 0.27°,
view: 10° (v) ×90° (h).
scan: 40Hz

Electronics
transmit power/element: 50mW
receiver noise: 6dB
packaging losses: 2dB TX, 2dB RX

Sees:
22cm diameter target (a soccer ball) @ -10dB reflectivity
200m range,
with 10dB SNR
in heavy fog/rain @ 22dB/km
with 4dB operating margins.



70 GHz spatially multiplexed base station

If we use instead a 70GHz carrier,
the range increases to **70 meters** (vs. **40 meters**)
but the handset becomes 16mm×16mm (vs. 8mm×8mm),
and the hub array becomes 19mm×612mm (vs. 10mm×328mm)

Or, use a 4×4 (8mm×8mm) handset array,
and the range becomes ..**about 40 meters**.

Same handset area (more handset elements)→ same link budget
Easier to obtain license for 140±2.5GHz than 70±2.5GHz

75 GHz, 640 Gb/s MIMO backhaul (16QAM)

Why not use a lower-frequency carrier, e.g. 75 GHz ?

Must use at least 16QAM, given 80Gb/s/channel…



8-element 640Gb/s linear array:

requires 16dB$_m$ transmit power/element (P_{out})

requires 3.5m linear array

Similar RF power output, physically larger

Do we need 2D arrays ? 1D might be fine.

1/sin²ϕ sidelobes provide strong signals to tall buildings.
Providing sidelobes reduces broadside gain by less than 3dB.
→ Don't need 2D arrays to serve tall buildings





2D vs. 1D: user spatial distribution





uniform horizontal & vertical user distributions

uniform horizontal, nonuniform vertical

design 1: 2D array





design 2: 1D array





Spatial distribution of users, and of scattering objects, guides choice of array geometry.

1D or 2D subarray for backhaul ?

Should we use 4x4 array, 1x16, or 16x1 array ?
All provide same system link budget, same # RF channels, same angular scanning range.





Denser Integration: higher PAE at high Power

Compact multi-finger transistor layouts

→ Shorter combiner lines

→ Less loss

→ Higher PAE.





Capacitively degenerated common-base

Lower gain, same peak PAE, higher PAE at P_{1dB}.



(a) CE

(b) CB with grounded base

(c) CB with base capacitor



How does this differ from stacking ?